

A Constraint Propagation Algorithm for Determining the Stability Margin of Linear Parameter Circuits and Systems

Lubomir Kolev and Simona Filipova-Petrakieva

Abstract

The paper addresses the stability margin assessment for linear systems under interval parameter uncertainties. The original robust stability problem is initially transformed into an equivalent problem of estimating the eigenvalues ranges of matrices whose elements are non-linear functions of independent interval parameters.

A new algorithm for finding the exact value of stability margin (within error bounds) is suggested. It is based on the use of the inner and outer bounds on the right ends of the eigenvalue considered in order to determine as a narrow initial uncertainty region as possible. Then the constraint propagation approach is applied. It consists of two steps. First, one sweep of constraint propagation, relative to the interval components of the eigenvalue – eigenvector pair, is carried out, keeping the parameter intervals fixed. Next, a second sweep of constraint propagation, relative to the components of the interval parameters, is applied, keeping the reduced intervals of the eigenvalue – eigenvector pair fixed.

A numerical example, illustrating the applicability of the algorithm suggested, is solved at the end.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that stability analysis of linear circuits and systems under parameter uncertainties can be formulated as the problem of estimating the range of the eigenvalues of interval matrices (see e.g. [3] – [9]) because the stability margin of the circuit studied is equal of the right end of the eigenvalue which is most to the right from the imaginary axis of the complex plane.

Let A be a real $n \times n$ matrix, \mathbf{A} - an interval matrix containing A , and

A^- , A^+ , A_0 and R_A – the left end, the right end, the center and the radius of A , respectively. We consider the following “perturbed” eigenvalue problem:

$$Ax = \lambda x, \quad A \in \mathbf{A} = [A^-, A^+] = A_0 + [-R_A, R_A]. \quad (1)$$

Ordinary letters will denote real quantities while bold face letters will stand for their interval counterparts.

Each matrix $A \in \mathbf{A}$ is non-singular.

For simplicity, the elements a_{ij} of interval matrix \mathbf{A} can be considered as independent interval, but in general, they are non-linear functions of m parameters, which take their values within prescribed intervals, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(p) &= a_{ij}(p_1, \dots, p_m), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n \\ p_l &\in \mathbf{p}_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

then the eigenvalue problem considered transforms as follows:

$$A(p)x = \lambda x, \quad p \in \mathbf{p}. \quad (3)$$

Each matrix $A(p)$, $p \in \mathbf{p}$ is non-singular.

Some of the known methods for assessing the stability margin in the above formulation are based on the solution of the following sub-problems:

- (i) find an inner bound on the right end of the range for the eigenvalue considered;
- (ii) find an outer bound on the right end of the range for the eigenvalue considered as well as outer bounds on the right ends of the components of the associated eigenvector;
- (iii) the final solution of the problem is then found by determining the exact right end of that eigenvalue range that is most to the right.

Each of these sub-problems is solved for independent interval elements of matrix \mathbf{A} (problem (1)) in [3], [4] and [6], as well as in the case of dependent interval elements of matrix \mathbf{A} (problem (3)) [5].

Using the above inner and outer bounds it is suggested a new algorithm for obtaining the exact stability margin as a narrow initial uncertainty region as possible. This algorithm is based on an approach called constraint propagation.

The present paper discusses the problem of determination the corresponding exact right ends of the eigenvalues of matrices whose elements are non-linear functions of independent interval parameters. The problem statement is defined in Section 2. A new constrain propagation algorithm for obtaining the exact values of the right ends of the considered intervals is suggested in Section 3. It is generalization of the constraint propagation approach

suggested in [7]. The algorithm procedure includes two steps. First, it is applied the constraint propagation technique relative to the interval components of the eigenvalue-eigenvector pair, keeping the parameter intervals fixed. Second, the same technique, relative to the components of the interval parameters, is carried out, keeping the reduced intervals of the eigenvalue-eigenvector pair fixed. A numerical example, illustrating the simplicity and applicability of the algorithm suggested, is considered in Section 4. The paper ends with conclusion remarks in Section 5.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The solution of initial basic eigenvalue problem (3) will be found making the following transformation. Let the system (3) is written for the central

parameters vector p^0

$$A(p^0)x = \lambda x. \quad (4)$$

We will estimate only the interval of the maximum eigenvalue of matrix $A(p^0)$. In general, the new algorithm suggested later can be applied for any other real eigenvalues.

Let

$$\lambda^*(p^0) = \max(\lambda_k(p^0)), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

is a maximum eigenvalue while $x^* = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ is the corresponding eigenvector. We make the following assumption (ensuring structural stability of the problem).

Assumption A1: Let $\lambda^*(p)$ and $x^*(p)$, corresponding to all $p \in \mathbf{p}$, remain real.

On account of Assumption A1, the range

$$\lambda^* = \{\lambda(p) : p \in \mathbf{p}\} \quad (6)$$

is a real interval.

Without any loss of generality we need a second assumption. If the pair (x^0, λ^0) is the solution of (4) then

Assumption A2: We assume that the absolute value of the s th component

$|x_s^0|$ of vector x^0 is the largest component of the other components, i.e.

$$|x_s^0| \geq |x_i^0|, i \neq s \quad (7)$$

Now x^0 is normalized through

$$x_s^0 = 1. \quad (8)$$

Further, we require that (8) be also valid for

$$x_s(p) = 1, p \in \mathbf{p}. \quad (8a)$$

We introduce the n -dimensional real vector

$$y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^T \quad (9)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= x_i(p), i = 1, \dots, n, n \neq s \\ y_s &= \lambda(p) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using (10), the eigenvalue problem (3) is

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq s}}^n a_{ij}(p)y_j - y_s y_i + a_{is}(p) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad i \neq s \\ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq s}}^n a_{sj}(p)y_j - y_s + a_{ss}(p) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Then we obtain the exact value of the stability margin considered applying the constraint propagation approach to the non-linear system (11). (The essence of this approach is described in [1] and [7].)

In the framework (11), the constraint propagation technique consists in successive satisfaction of some constraints, given as equalities, and reduction of the initial region (box) of uncertain interval parameters. In the context of the problem considered, the constraints are given by the components of the interval eigenvalue problem under consideration.

3. A NEW CONSTRAIN-PROPAGATION ALGORITHM

This algorithm find the exact value of the stability margin within error bounds. It based on the fact that stability margin is equal of the right end of the eigenvalue range that is most to the right.

Let the elements of matrix $A(p)$ are non-linear function of independent interval parameters $p_l, l = 1, \dots, m$ following (2). We write them in the following linear form with respect to the elements of vector p [2]:

$$a_{ij}(p) = \alpha_{ij} p + b_{ij}, \quad p \in \mathbf{p} \quad (12)$$

and substitute in system (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq s}}^n \left[\mathbf{b}_{ij} + \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_{ijl} p_l \right] y_j - y_s y_i + \left[\mathbf{b}_{is} + \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_{isl} p_l \right] = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad i \neq s \\
& \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq s}}^n \left[\mathbf{b}_{sj} + \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_{sjl} p_l \right] y_j - y_s + \left[\mathbf{b}_{ss} + \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_{ssl} p_l \right] = 0
\end{aligned} \quad . \quad (13)$$

Then we simplify the non-linear system (13) with respect to the components of parameter vector p and get the following system

$$\sum_{l=1}^m \mathbf{g}_{il} p_l = \mathbf{f}_i, \quad p_l \in \mathbf{p}_l, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_{il} &= \alpha_{isl} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^n \alpha_{ijl} \mathbf{y}_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\
\mathbf{f}_i &= -\mathbf{b}_{is} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^n \mathbf{b}_{ij} \mathbf{y}_j + \mathbf{y}_s \mathbf{y}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad i \neq s \\
\mathbf{f}_s &= -\mathbf{b}_{ss} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^n \mathbf{b}_{sj} \mathbf{y}_j + \mathbf{y}_s
\end{aligned} \quad . \quad (14a)$$

The system (14) is linear with respect to the components of the parameter vector p . The known methods solved the non-linear system with interval coefficients (11) with respect to the components of interval vector \mathbf{y} [5, 7]. Now we will suggest the algorithm, based on constraint propagation approach, to obtain the same components of \mathbf{y} using the linear parameter system (14) yet. It consists of two iterative procedures which call each others recursively. It can be described with the following way. The main procedure includes 3 steps.

Procedure 1

Step1: We start with the initial uncertainty region – interval vector (see Steps 1 and 2 in [7])

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^{(0)} = \mathbf{y}^{out}, \quad (15)$$

where \mathbf{y}^{out} is an interval vector whose components are the outer bounds of $y(p)$, $p \in \mathbf{p}$, calculated by the method suggested in [5].

We substitute the s th component of \mathbf{y} with interval

$$\mathbf{y}_s = \mathbf{z}_s = \left[\left(y_s^{in} \right)^+, \left(y_s^{out} \right)^+ \right], \quad (16)$$

where $\left(y_s^{in} \right)^+$ and $\left(y_s^{out} \right)^+$ are the right ends on the inner and outer bounds on the exact range y_s^* , calculated by methods suggested in [5].

Step 2: We apply the constraint propagation procedure (Procedure 2 from [5]) to obtain the components of the interval vector \mathbf{y} consists of the eigenvalue considered and the components of the respective eigenvector, keeping the parameter intervals fixed.

The stop criteria is two serial values of the interval components of vector \mathbf{y} to be close enough.

Step 3: We consider the components of vector \mathbf{y} as an independent intervals and apply the constrain propagation to the linear system (14) with respect to

the independent components of parameter vector \mathbf{p} . Thus we calculate the new components of the interval vector \mathbf{p} as follows:

For $i = 1$ to n do

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p}_q^{(i)} = \frac{\left[f_i - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq q}}^m \mathbf{g}_{il} \mathbf{p}_l \right]}{\mathbf{g}_{iq}}, \quad q = 1, \dots, n \\ \mathbf{p}_q = \mathbf{p}_q \cap \mathbf{p}_q^{(i)} \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

End

The stop criteria is two serial values of the interval components of vector \mathbf{p} to be close enough.

Go to Step 2.

In the first iteration of the procedure we start with initial vector $\mathbf{y}^{(0)}$, calculated by (15) and (16), but in the other iterations we use the components of interval vector \mathbf{y} obtained as a result in the end of the Step 3.

The Procedure 1 is valid for all eigenvalues of interval matrix $A(\mathbf{p})$, $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{p}$ but to simplify the presentation we described it only for the maximum one.

The right end of the eigenvalue (the s th component y_s) of the interval vector \mathbf{y} , which is most to the right is equal to the stability margin of the analyzed system.

4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

The circuit studied is shown in Fig. 4.1. Assuming that $R_i \in \mathbf{R}_i$, $L \in \mathbf{L}$, $C \in \mathbf{C}$,

the vector of parameters is $p = (R_1, R_2, R_3, L, C)^T$ with $\mathbf{R}_1 = [97, 103]$,

$\mathbf{R}_2 = [198, 202]$, $\mathbf{R}_3 = [99.999, 100.001]$, $L = [4.9999, 5.0001]_{mH}$,

$\mathbf{C} = [238, 267]_{\mu F}$. Such systems arise in tolerance analysis of linear AC electric circuits.




Figure 4.1

We apply the Procedure 1 to obtain the stability margin of the circuit analyzed.

First, in Step 1 we find the initial interval vector y^0 according to (15) and (16) in the following way.

It is seen from the example that the expressions (2) are non-linear functions of 5 parameters

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{R_1 + R_2}{L.k} & -\frac{1}{L.k} \\ \frac{1}{C.k} & -\frac{1}{R_3.C.k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_3} \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{L} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

The componenets of the initial centre and radii parameter vectors are:

$$p_{Start}^0 = (100 \quad 200 \quad 100 \quad 5*10^{-3} \quad 250*10^{-6})^T, \quad (19a)$$

$$Rp_{Start} = (3 \quad 2 \quad 0.001 \quad 0.01*10^{-3} \quad 12*10^{-6})^T. \quad (19b)$$

The linearization of $a_{ij}(p)$ is made by the method suggested in [2] using (19).

So we get the $a_{ij}(p)$ as the affine functions:

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} = -0.67 p_1 + 0.0001633 p_2 - 1.334 p_3 + 400.009 p_4 - 200.087 + [-0.0225 \ 0.0225] \\ a_{12} = 0.0022232 p_2 - 0.004446 p_3 + 1.3334 p_4 - 1.3338 + 10^{-4} [-6.78 \ 6.78] \\ a_{21} = -4.4512 p_2 + 8.9024 p_3 - 5.34 * 10^6 p_5 + 2.6703 * 10^3 + [-3.0127 \ 3.0127] \\ a_{22} = 0.0446 p_2 + 0.0446 p_3 + 0.535 * 10^6 p_5 - 40.085 + [-0.0245 \ 0.0245] \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

The numbers of the maximum eigenvalue and the maximum component of its eigenvector are $k = 2$ and $s = 2$, respectively. Hence, we are interested in the second component of interval vector y . According to (9) the vector y is:

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

resp.

$$y^0 = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^0 \\ y_2^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2^0 \\ \lambda^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.00366795 \\ -18.244878 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Applying the method suggested in [5] we get the following outer bounds of the eigenvector considered components

$$y^{out} = \begin{bmatrix} [-0.0037587 \ -0.0035775] \\ [-19.4124 \ -17.08791] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

the right end of the inner bound on the eigenvalue considered

$$(\lambda^{in})^+ = (y_s^{in})^+ = (y_2^{in})^+ = -17.1669 \quad (24)$$

and the right end of the exact range

$$(\lambda^{exact})^+ = (y_s^{exact})^+ = -17.1669 \quad (25)$$

Then based on (15) and (16) and using (23) and (24) we get the initial interval vector

$$\mathbf{y}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} [-0.0037587 & -0.0035775] \\ [-17.1669 & -17.08791] \end{bmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

Second, we repeat the Steps 2 and 3 from the Procedure 1 until we obtain two serial values of the interval components of vector \mathbf{p} to be close enough with the accuracy $\varepsilon = 10^{-9}$. The final componenets of the centre and radii parameter vectors are reached on the 6th iteration and they are following:

$$\mathbf{p}_{Final}^0 = (100 \quad 200 \quad 100 \quad 5*10^{-3} \quad 250*10^{-6})^T, \quad (27a)$$

$$\mathbf{Rp}_{Final} = (0.01327 \quad 0.0066612 \quad 0.001 \quad 0.01*10^{-3} \quad 0.00577889*10^{-6})^T. \quad (27b)$$

Thus the right end of the sth component of the vector \mathbf{y} is

$$(y_s)^+ = -17.1669 \quad (28)$$

But

$$(y_s)^+ = (y_s^{out})^+ = (\lambda^{out})^+ \quad (29)$$

then

$$(y_s^{out})^+ = (\lambda^{out})^+ = -17.1668 \quad (30)$$

It is obvious from (25) and (30) that the right ends of outer bound and of the exact range considered, calculated by the new algorithm and the method from [5], are equal. Therefore, the new method suggested determines the right end of the stability margin of the circuit studied.

The calculation times for this example are shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Step 1 in Procedure 1 (methods from [5])	Whole the Procedure 1
0.17 s	0.22 s

5. CONCLUSION

The new algorithm suggested obtains the exact value of the stability margin within error bounds. Some of the known methods for assessing it are based on determining the exact ranges and the respective inner and outer bounds of the considered eigenvalue-eigenvector pair solving the non-linear system (11) with respect to the components of the interval vector \mathbf{y} . Unlike this approach, a new constraint propagation algorithm which applies to the linear parameter system (14) is proposed with respect to the components of the parameter vector \mathbf{p} . The algorithm suggested obtains directly the right end of the exact range of the eigenvalue analyzed and thus determines the exact value of the stability margin.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Jaulin L., M. Kieffer, O. Didrit and E. Walter: *Applied Interval Analysis with Examples in Parameter and State Estimation*. Robust Control and Robotics, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [2] Kolev L.: *Automatic Computation of a Linear Interval Enclosure*, Reliable Computing 7, 2001, pp. 17-28.

- [3] Kolev L., S. Filipova-Petrakieva, N. Vrabchev: *Outer bounds on the real eigenvalues of interval matrices*. Proceedings of XI International Symposium on Theoretical electrical engineering ISTET'01, Linz, Austria, 19-22 August 2001, pp. 383-386.
- [4] Kolev L., S. Filipova-Petrakieva: *Inner bounds and exact solution for the range of the eigenvalues of interval matrices*. Technical ideas, BAS, vol. 39, No. 1-2, 2002, pp. 44-52.
- [5] Kolev L., S. Filipova-Petrakieva: *Stability analysis of linear interval parametric systems via assessing the eigenvalue range*. Proceedings of XII International Symposium on Theoretical electrical engineering ISTET'03, July 6-9, 2003, Warsaw, Poland, pp. 211-215.
- [6] Kolev L., S. Petrakieva: *Assessing the stability of linear time-invariant interval dynamic systems*. IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, vol. 50, Issue 3, March 2005, pp. 393-397.
- [7] Kolev L., S. Petrakieva: *Two-sided bounds on the stability margin of linear interval parameters*. Proceedings of XIII International Symposium on Theoretical electrical engineering ISTET'05, July 4-7, 2005, Lviv, Ukraine, pp. 280-283.
- [8] Juang Y., Ch. Shao: *Stability analysis of dynamic interval systems*, International Journal of Control, vol. 49, No. 4, 1989, pp. 1401-1408.
- [9] Rachid A.: *Robustness of discrete systems under structured uncertainties*. International Journal of Control, vol. 5, No. 4, 1989, pp. 1563-1566.

[10] Wang S., W. Lin: *A new approach to the stability analysis of interval systems*. Control - Theory and Advanced Technology, vol. 7, No. 2, June 1991, pp. 271-284.

Prof. DSc Lubomir Kolev,

Assist. Prof. Phd Simona Filipova-Petrakieva

Department of Theoretical Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Automatica

Technical University of Sofia

8, Kliment Ohridski Str., Sofia 1000,

Bulgaria

E-mails: lkolev@tu-sofia.bg, petrakievas-te@tu-sofia.bg